

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

**Dysfunctional AV Fistula in End-Stage Renal Disease Patients at Tertiary Care Hospitals of Lahore and Peshawar**

Sana Kifayat<sup>1</sup>, Noor Muhammad<sup>2\*</sup>, Muhammad Fawad<sup>3</sup>, Ayousha Burki<sup>4</sup>, Muhammad Waqas Khan<sup>5</sup>, Muhammad Umair Khan<sup>6</sup>

**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** To determine the frequency of dysfunctional arteriovenous fistula utilizing Doppler ultrasound in End stage renal disease patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis.

**Study Design:** Cross-sectional study.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The study was conducted at the Dialysis Unit, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital Lahore, Pakistan, and Department of Nephrology, Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar, Pakistan, from July 2019 to January 2021.

**Methods:** One hundred and seventy-seven (177) people receiving continuous hemodialysis for end-stage renal disease, fulfilling selection criteria, were included in the study. Their medical record was assessed, the clinical examination findings and Doppler ultrasound findings of dysfunctional arteriovenous fistula were recorded

**Results:** In this study, 177 patients who had end-stage renal disease and were on maintenance hemodialysis, fulfilling selection criteria, were included. Among these patients, 124 (70.1%) were male and 53 (29.9%) were females. The patients' average age was 48±13.29 years. In our local population, the frequency of a dysfunctional arteriovenous fistula is 30.5% on physical examination and 44.6% on Doppler ultrasound among patients on thrice weekly maintenance hemodialysis.

**Conclusion:** We concluded that upon physical inspection, 30.5% of individuals receiving thrice-weekly maintenance hemodialysis had dysfunctional arteriovenous fistulas and 44.6% on Doppler ultrasound in our population. Arteriovenous fistula with normal physical examination findings may be dysfunctional on Doppler ultrasonography and Doppler ultrasonography further confirm the findings of physical examination.

**Keywords:** Arteriovenous Fistula, End Stage Renal Disease, Hemodialysis.

**How to cite this:** Kifayat S, Muhammad N, Fawad M, Burki A, Khan MW, Khan MU. Dysfunctional AV Fistula in End-Stage Renal Disease Patients at Tertiary Care Hospitals of Lahore and Peshawar. *Life and Science*. 2025; 6(1): 51-57. doi: <http://doi.org/10.37185/LnS.1.1.689>

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology  
Rawalpindi Medical University Rawalpindi, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology/Surgery<sup>5</sup>  
Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of General Medicine  
Mufti Mehmood Teaching Hospital Dera Ismail Khan  
Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Nephrology  
DHQ Hospital Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan

<sup>6</sup>Kabir Medical College Peshawar, Pakistan

Correspondence:

Dr. Noor Muhammad  
Associate Professor, Nephrology  
Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar, Pakistan

E-mail: [drnoormiani@gmail.com](mailto:drnoormiani@gmail.com)

Received: April 07, 2024; 1<sup>st</sup> Revision Received: Aug 03, 2024

2<sup>nd</sup> Revision Received: Oct 17, 2024; Accepted: Nov 13, 2024

**Introduction**

The most popular kind of renal replacement treatment (RRT) for individuals with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) is hemodialysis (HD). Enough vascular access must be established and maintained for hemodialysis to be successful. A good vascular access should provide a sufficiently high blood flow rate with adequate inflow and outflow pressures to allow for efficient dialysis with minimal complications; otherwise, under-dialysis leads to increased morbidity and mortality.<sup>1</sup>

The preferred vascular route for maintenance

hemodialysis (MHD) is an arteriovenous fistula (AVF), as it has a reduced risk of complications and a longer survival rate than central venous catheters or prosthetic grafts.<sup>2</sup> It takes at least 500 to 800 mL/min of access blood flow to remove enough uremic toxins from a patient in an acceptable time during hemodialysis. Severe stenosis in the fistula's venous or arterial branch is typically the reason for a decrease in blood flow below that range. A hemodialysis access flow of less than 400 mL/min is linked to a higher risk of access stenosis and fistula failure. In comparison, a flow of more than 1200 mL/min, particularly more than 1500 mL/min, is linked to issues with the cardiac output.<sup>3</sup>

Even though pressures during hemodialysis are typically used to detect abnormal hemodynamics in access fistulas, early identification of access dysfunction and prompt, targeted treatments before the disease worsens may help to lower the rate of morbidity associated with access.<sup>4</sup> Research indicates that difficulties with vascular access construction account for around 30% of hospital stays among ESRD patients.<sup>1</sup> The overall frequency of complications in AVF is 16%.<sup>5</sup> Most important complications are central venous stenosis and obstruction (30%)<sup>6</sup>, aneurysm (26.54%), thrombosis (17-25%), stenosis (14-42%), hematoma (3.8%), steal syndrome (2-8%), ischemic neuropathy (1-10%), and infections (2-3%).<sup>1,5-7</sup>

Guidelines for vascular access include routine screening with non-invasive techniques, such as clinical assessment (monitoring), and device-based surveillance, such as Doppler ultrasound (DU), to detect issues with AVF early.<sup>8</sup> The performance of physical examination (PE) in identifying stenosis in fistulas is also acceptable.<sup>8</sup> Physical examination surveillance is simple to understand, simple to carry out, quick to complete, and cost-effective. There are 3 components of PE: Look (inspection), Feel (palpation), and Listen (auscultation).<sup>2</sup> Doppler ultrasound (DU) is a simple, inexpensive and non invasive technique for assessment of blood flow in AVF. Additionally, it has increased AVF survival by enabling early identification and solving of problems.<sup>3</sup> Sixty-nine individuals with asymptomatic, functional hemodialysis access

were evaluated using Doppler ultrasonography in an Iranian study. They reported high levels of abnormalities, especially higher flow volume, in clinically normal functional AVFs.<sup>9</sup>

In a study by Moghazy KM et al., they reported the efficiency of color (full abbreviation) DUS in the assessment of AVF dysfunction; DUS correctly identified stenoses, thromboses, venous aneurysms, and steal syndrome in 55 out of 177 patients with AVF dysfunction. In this study DUS was found to carry a sensitivity rate of 96.4% while taking surgical findings during operative interventions as the reference standard.<sup>10</sup>

In another study by Conkbayir et al. DUS correctly identified 123 of 130 lesions (sensitivity 94.6%, specificity 97.5%, PPV 98.4%, NPV 92%, accuracy 95.7%).<sup>11</sup>

Malik J et al. conducted a study in which 100 patients from two dialysis units were included in a cohort analysis that compared a unit assessed clinically with another unit assessed using ultrasonography flow measurements. The findings demonstrated that, as a surveillance strategy to avoid hemodialysis access thrombosis, a structured clinical assessment utilizing a formal tracking tool is equivalent to ultrasound flow measurements.<sup>12</sup> Treatment may enable the early identification of issues frequently linked to mature fistulas, preventing missed appointments and emergency scenarios. However, the physical examination of the arteriovenous fistula is a relatively new experience for many nephrologists, nurse practitioners, and nurses.<sup>13</sup>

Asif et al. published the results of a prospective study in 2007 that assessed the accuracy of PE in the diagnosis of stenotic lesions in comparison to angiography, involving 142 consecutive patients with AVF dysfunction. Regarding identifying concomitant inflow-outflow lesions, there was a high degree of agreement between the PE and angiography that went above and beyond chance (79% agreement).<sup>14</sup>

The three main components of PE for AV access are auscultation, palpation, and examination. Inquire about any odd or unexpected events the patient may have had between dialysis treatments, specifically related to the access, such as bleeding, swelling, bruises, redness, drainage, pain, or a change in the thrill. There is

limited data to compare the accuracy of physical examination with the Doppler examination. This study will help us in decision-making whether we should rely on physical examination or make a routine to make Doppler ultrasound to know the functional accuracy of AV Fistula.

### Methods

The study was conducted at the Dialysis Unit, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital Lahore, Pakistan and Department of Nephrology, Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar, Pakistan from July 2019 to January 2021. The non-probability Consecutive sampling was applied. A sample size of 177 was determined by using 95% confidence interval, 4% margin of error with an expected percentage i.e. 8% of steal syndrome.<sup>2</sup> Patients of both genders, aged 25-70 years, with ESRD on MHD for > 3 months were included. Patients with open or infected wounds at the site of the fistula, making the Doppler scan difficult, were excluded.

After receiving approval from the Ethics Committee of the hospital vide letter no: 2/08/10/2019 held on dated: 10<sup>th</sup> August 2019 each patient's informed consent was obtained in order to gather data. Name, age, gender, and registration number were collected as demographic data. Co-morbidities, the length of dialysis via the AVF, the length of dialysis via central venous catheters before the AVF formed, and the quantity of previously unsuccessful fistulas were all noted as effect modifiers.

Every patient had a physical examination before DUS by the researcher. Patients were then sent to the radiology department of the same hospital for a Doppler ultrasound. Diagnosis on the basis of DU was made by the radiologist. Fistulas were labeled normal or dysfunctional as per operational definitions. An organized proforma was used to record all of the data. Data were gathered and processed into the Statistical Package for Social Science for analysis (SPSS) v23.0.

Quantitative variables like age, fistula age, flow volume, and diameter of feeding artery were presented in the form of Mean  $\pm$  S.D. Qualitative variables gender, site of AV fistula and frequency of dysfunctional fistula were presented in the form of frequencies and percentages. Data were categorized according to age, gender, and

dialysis duration via the AVF, duration of dialysis via central venous catheters prior to the creation of AVF, and number of sites for AVF that had previously failed fistulas to address effect modifiers.

### Results

177 patients with end-stage renal disease who were on maintenance hemodialysis and met the study's inclusion criteria were included from the dialysis unit of the nephrology department of the Sir Ganga Ram Hospital in Lahore and the Department of Nephrology Lady Reading hospital the Department of Nephrology Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar. 124 (70.1%) were male and 53 (29.9%) were females. Of these patients, seven (4.0%) belonged to the 18 to 29 age group, 61 (34.5%) to the 30- to 45-year age group, 79 (44.6%) to the 46 to 60-year age group, and 30 (16.9%) to the over-60 age group. The average age was  $48 \pm 13.2$  years.

In this study, 16 (9.0%) patients were hypertensive and 99 (55.9%) as diabetic. Majority of the patients 104 (58.8%) had duration of dialysis via AVF >3 years. While 3 (1.7%) and 70 (39.5%) patients had duration of dialysis via AVF 6-12 months and 1-3 years respectively. Majority of the patients 104 (58.8%) had duration of dialysis via central venous catheter prior to AVF formation <3 months. While 30 (16.9%) and 43 (24.3%) patients had a duration of dialysis via CVC prior to AVF formation, started directly via AVF and >3 months, respectively.

According to the site of AVF distribution, 24 (13.6%) had right radio cephalic site followed by left radio cephalic at 51 (28.8%), right brachiocephalic at 24 (13.6%), and left brachiocephalic as 78 (44.1%). According to a number of previously failed fistulas distribution, 129 (72.9%) did not fail, followed by one time as 43 (24.3%), more than 1 one as 3 (1.7%), and three times as 2 (1.1%). According to blood flow through AVF distribution, 12 (6.8%) had blood flow <500 ml/min, followed by 501-1500 ml/min in 87 (49.2%), 1501-2000 ml/min in 19 (10.7%) and >2000 ml/min in 59 (33.3%). According to clinical examination, 123 (69.5%) had normal findings, and 54 (30.5%) had dysfunctional findings. Among 177 patients, 13 (7.3%) had signs of outflow obstruction followed by weak or

**Table-1: Frequency distribution of duration of dialysis via arteriovenous fistula**

Duration of dialysis via AVF	Frequency	Percent
6-12 months	3	1.7
1-3 years	70	39.5
>3 years	104	58.8
Total	177	100.0

**Table-2: Frequency distribution of site of arteriovenous fistula**

Site of AVF	Frequency	Percent
Right radio cephalic	24	13.6
Left radio cephalic	51	28.8
Right brachiocephalic or brachiobasilic	24	13.6
Left brachiocephalic or brachiobasilic	78	44.1
Total	177	100.0

**Table-3: Frequency distribution of clinical findings**

Clinical Findings	Frequency	Percent
Normal	123	69.5
Signs of outflow obstruction	13	7.3
Weak or absent thrill / bruit	14	7.9
Localized swelling / paper thin skin	23	13.0
Poor augmentation and weak thrill	4	2.3
Total	177	100.0

**Table-4: Frequency distribution of Finding on doppler ultrasound**

Finding on Doppler Ultrasound	Frequency	Percent
Normal	98	55.4
Stenosis	20	11.3
Thrombosis	20	11.3
Aneurysm	39	22.0
Total	177	100.0

absent thrill/bruit in 14 (7.9%), localized swelling/paper thin skin in 23 (13.0%) and poor augmentation and weak thrill in 4 (2.3%). On Doppler ultrasound, 98 (55.4%) patients had normal functioning fistulas and 79 (44.6%) were dysfunctional. According to dysfunctional findings on Doppler Ultrasound, 20 (11.3%) had stenosis, in 20 (11.3%) had thrombosis, followed by aneurysm in 39 (22.0%). Frequency of duration of dialysis has been shown in table-1 while frequency of site of AV fistula has been shown in table-2.

Table-3 shows the distribution of clinical findings, table-4 shows the findings on doppler ultrasound and table-5 shows the clinical

examination findings with respect to the duration of dialysis via AV fistula

#### **Discussion**

The rationale for monitoring AVF for MHD is to extend the life of AVF, and all vascular access guidelines recommend monitoring and surveillance to screen subclinical dysfunction and prevent fistula failure. Physical examination (PE) is the earliest, most cost-effective, and easiest method to evaluate access dysfunction. In addition to physical examination, Doppler ultrasound (DUS) is a non-invasive tool that confirms the findings of a physical examination and is helpful for the early identification and localization of AVF problems.<sup>13</sup>

**Table-5: Stratification of clinical examination with respect to duration of dialysis via arteriovenous fistula**

Duration of dialysis via AVF	Clinical Examination		Total
	Normal	Dysfunctional	
6-12 months	3 100.0%	0 0.0%	3 100.0%
1-3 years	51 72.9%	19 27.1%	70 100.0%
>3 years	69 66.3%	35 33.7%	104 100.0%
Total	123 69.5%	54 30.5%	177 100.0%

In this study, the frequency of dysfunctional arteriovenous fistulas in patients with end-stage renal disease receiving maintenance hemodialysis was comparable with the literature; females were more common. This gender inequality may be related to sociocultural factors attributing to fewer females with ESRD opting for or having hemodialysis access. In another study, it was also found that patients beginning renal replacement treatment (RRT) appear more likely to be men.<sup>14</sup>

On PE, 54 (30.5%) had dysfunctional fistula compared to 79 (44.6%) on DUS. Abnormal PE findings included localized swelling (13%), weak thrill (7.9%), outflow obstruction (7.3%), and poor augmentation with a weak thrill (2.3%). Dysfunctional AVF on DUS included stenosed 20 (11.3%), thrombosed 20 (11.3%), and aneurysm 39 (22.0%); none had retrograde flow distal to the fistula that could cause steal syndrome.

On comparing the findings of Doppler ultrasound (DUS) with those of clinical examination, it was seen that Doppler is more accurate as compared to physical examination, which is supported by other studies.<sup>15</sup> Outflow stenosis, aneurysms, and thrombi causing dysfunctional fistulas were not missed on clinical examination, and DUS further confirmed the PE findings in these conditions. On DUS, 12 (6.8%) had less than adequate flow of 500ml/min, 59 (33.3%) had high flow of more than 2000ml/min. blood flow less than 500ml/min is associated with inadequate hemodialysis, and very high flow fistulas can

lead to high output cardiac failure.<sup>16</sup> The mean diameter of the feeding artery as measured by Doppler ultrasound was 4.58 +/- 2.31mm. On stratification of DUS findings with respect to age, among patients aged 18-29yr, 4 out of 7 had dysfunctional AVF, among those aged 30-45yr, 24 out of 61 had dysfunctional AVF; among 46-60yr olds, 43 out of 79 had dysfunctional AVF, and among those aged over 60yr, 8 out of 30 had dysfunctional AVF. The frequency of dysfunctional AVF was 19 out of 53 among females and 60 out of 124 among males.<sup>17</sup> Among the 79 out of our total 177 patients who had dysfunctional AVF on DUS, 47 were diabetic, and all 79 were hypertensive. Fistulas of age less than a year were all normal; among fistulas that were functional now for 1-3yr, 24 out of 70 were dysfunctional, and 55 of 104 fistulas functional for over 3 years were dysfunctional. Thus, fistulas that were being used for a more extended period of time were more likely to be dysfunctional.<sup>18</sup>

When data was categorized according to the site of AVF, 24 out of 51 right radio cephalic fistulas, 24 out of 51 left radio cephalic, 12 out of 24 right brachiocephalic, and 38 out of 78 left brachiocephalic AVF were dysfunctional on DUS.<sup>19-22</sup>

**Conclusion**

It is concluded that Doppler ultra sound is superior to simple physical emanation to diagnose the problems in the arteriovenous fistula.

Limitations of study: This study was conducted on small sample in two centers and should be

conducted on larger population at multicenter.

**Acknowledgment:** None

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest

**Grant Support and Financial Disclosure:** None

## REFERENCES

1. Stolic R. Most important chronic complications of arteriovenous fistulas for hemodialysis. *Medical Principles and Practice*. 2013; 22: 220-8. doi: 10.1159/10.1159/000343669
2. NKF-K II. DOQI clinical practice guidelines for vascular access: update 2000. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 2001; 37: 137-81. doi: 10.1016/s0272-6386(01)70007-8
3. Wong CS, McNicholas N, Healy D, Clarke-Moloney M, Coffey JC, Grace PA, et al. A systematic review of preoperative duplex ultrasonography and arteriovenous fistula formation. *Journal of vascular surgery*. 2013; 57: 1129-33. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2012.11.094
4. Pietura R, Janczarek M, Zaluska W, Szymanska A, Janicka L, Skublewska-Bednarek A, et al. Color Doppler ultrasound assessment of well-functioning mature arteriovenous fistulas for haemodialysis access. *European Journal of Radiology*. 2005; 55: 113-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2004.09.010
5. Aljuaid MM, Alzahrani NN, Alshehri AA, Alkhalidi LH, Alosaimi FS, Aljuaid FS, et al. Complications of arteriovenous fistula in dialysis patients: Incidence and risk factors in Taif city, KSA. *Journal of family medicine and primary care*. 2020; 9: 407-11. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_848\_19
6. Kundu S. Central venous obstruction management. *Seminars of Intervention Radiology*. 2009; 26: 115-121. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1222454
7. Dix FP, Khan Y, Al-Khaffaf H. The brachial artery-basilic vein arterio-venous fistula in vascular access for haemodialysis—a review paper. *European journal of vascular and endovascular surgery*. 2006; 31: 70-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2005.08.008
8. Tessitore N, Bedogna V, Verlato G, Poli A. Clinical access assessment. *The Journal of Vascular Access*. 2014; 15: S20-S7. doi: 10.5301/jva.5000242
9. Alamdaran A, Nazemian F, Taheri H. Doppler ultrasound assessment of well-functioning native hemodialysis access: comparison with sufficient dialysis. *Iranian Journal of Radiology*. 2008; 5: e78992
10. Moghazy KM. Value of color Doppler sonography in the assessment of hemodialysis access dysfunction. *Saudi Journal of Kidney Diseases and Transplantation*. 2009; 20: 35-43.
11. Conkbayir I, Celtikci P, Ergun O, Ali Dumraz H. Value of duplex Doppler ultrasonography for the evaluation of dysfunctional hemodialysis access arteriovenous fistulas prior to endovascular interventions. *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound*. 2018; 46: 503-11. doi: 10.1002/jcu.22601
12. Malik J, Lomonte C, Meola M, de Bont C, Shahverdyan R, Rotmans JJ, et al. The role of Doppler ultrasonography in vascular access surveillance—controversies continue. *The Journal of Vascular Access*. 2021; 22: 63-70. doi: 10.1177/1129729820928174
13. Abreo K, Amin BM, Abreo AP. Physical examination of the hemodialysis arteriovenous fistula to detect early dysfunction. *Journal of Vascular Access*. 2019; 20: 7-11. doi: 10.1177/1129729818768183
14. Presta P, Carullo N, Armeni A, Zicarelli MT, Musolino M, Bianco MG, et al. Evaluation of arteriovenous fistula for hemodialysis with a new generation digital stethoscope: a pilot study. *International Urology and Nephrology*. 2024; 56: 1763-71. doi: 10.1007/s11255-023-03895-5
15. Biuckians A, Scott EC, Meier GH, Panneton JM, Glickmen MH. The natural history of autologous fistulas as first time dialysis access in the KDIGO era. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2008; 47: 415-21. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2007.10.041
16. Carrero JJ, Hecking M, Chesnaye NC, Jager KJ. Sex and gender disparities in the epidemiology and outcomes of chronic kidney disease. *Nature Review, Nephrology*. 2018; 4: 151-64. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2017.181
17. Romero A, Polo JR, Morato EG, Sabrido JLG, Quintans A, Ferreira JP. Salvage of angioaccess after late thrombosis of radiocephalic fistulas for hemodialysis. *International Surgery*. 1986; 71: 122-4.
18. Jones RG, Morgan RA. A Review of the Current Status of Percutaneous Endovascular Arteriovenous Fistula Creation for Haemodialysis Access. *Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology*. 2019; 42: 1-9. doi: 10.1007/s00270-018-2037-6
19. Vazquez-Padron RI, Duque JC, Tabbara M, Salman LH, Martinez L. Intimal Hyperplasia and Arteriovenous Fistula Failure: Looking Beyond Size Differences. *Kidney360*. 2021; 2: 1360-72. doi: 10.34067/KID.0002022021
20. Gu H, Wan Z, Lai QQ, Zhou Y, Tu B, Hu B, et al. Efficacy of ultrasound-guided percutaneous transluminal angioplasty for arteriovenous fistula stenosis or occlusion at juxta-anastomosis: A 3-year follow-up cohort study. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2021; 74: 217-24. doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2020.11.041

21. Kahraman N, Demir D. Outcomes of arteriovenous fistula reconstruction in vascular access dysfunction. *American Journal of Translational Research*. 2019; 11: 1058-65.
22. Spiliopoulos S, Giannikouris IE, Katsanos K, Filippou P, Efthymiou E, Reppas L, et al. Volume flow assistance for optimizing outcomes of dysfunctional autologous arteriovenous fistula Angioplasty: the VOLA Pilot Study. *European Radiology*. 2022; 32: 368-76. doi: 10.1007/s00330-021-08139-7

**Authors Contribution**

**SK:** Data collection

**NM:** Idea conception

**FA:** Study designing

**AB:** Data analysis, results and interpretation

**MWK:** Manuscript writing and proofreading

**MUK:** Idea conception

.....